

Changes in Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Risk Categories in Patients with Type 2 **Diabetes and Chronic Kidney Disease**

¹ Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH, USA; ² University of Maryland Baltimore, MD, USA; ³ Analysis Group, Inc., Los Angeles, CA, USA; ⁴ Bayer U.S. LLC, Whippany, NJ, USA

BACKGROUND & OBJECTIVE

- Although progression of chronic kidney disease (CKD) is commonly defined by a decline in estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), elevated urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio (UACR) is also an important early disease marker in patients with CKD associated with type 2 diabetes (T2D)¹
- Despite the KDIGO guideline recommendations to characterize the disease risk categories using both UACR (A1-A3 stages) and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR, G1-G5 stages),² studies of CKD progression continue to rely heavily on eGFR changes
- This study aimed to describe CKD progression patterns based on changes in KDIGO risk categories in patients with CKD associated with T2D; this information will help clinicians better understand the course of CKD

METHODS

- Data from the Optum electronic healthcare records (EHR) database (January 2007 to December 2019) were used
- Patients with CKD and T2D who were of moderate (G3a-A1 and G1/2-A2) or high risk (G3b-A1, G3a-A2, G1/2-A3) based on the KDIGO heat map were included
- The index date was defined as the earliest record indicating CKD of moderate or high risk after T2D diagnosis and patients were followed until the end of continuous eligibility (Figure 1)
- Statistical analyses
- The probability of advancing to a higher KDIGO risk category (i.e., moving from moderate to high/very high risk or from high to very high risk) within 5 years was estimated using Kaplan-Meier analysis for each risk category. Only the most severe KDIGO risk category within 5 years was considered for each patient
- Changes in kidney function over time were assessed using the trajectories of eGFR, which were depicted by line charts using mean eGFR values at index date and at 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 years after index date by index KDIGO risk category

Figure 1. Study design diagram for assessing CKD progression patterns



RESULTS

• A total of 269,187 patients with CKD and T2D of moderate (81.3%) or high risk (18.7%) at index date were included in the study (Table 1)

Table 1. Baseline KDIGO prognosis risk categories among patients with CKD and T2D of moderate or high risk

eGFR Categ (ml/min/1.73

Table 2. Baseline characteristics of patients with CKD and T2D of moderate or high risk (2007-2019)

Demograph

- Age ≥ 65 Male Race
- African
- Asian
- Caucasi

Other/L Lab tests, m

- Index eGFF Index UAC HbA1c
- Comorbidit
- Hypertens Hyperlipic
- Obesity
- Ischemic
- Chronic p

- Presented at American Society of Nephrology (ASN) Kidney Week 2021 (November 4–7), Virtual Meeting.

Kevin M. Pantalone¹, C. Daniel Mullins², Keith A. Betts³, Jinlin Song³, Aozhou Wu³, Sheldon X. Kong⁴, Rakesh Singh⁴

		Albuminuria Categories (mg/g)			
		A1: <30	A2: 30-300	A3: >300	
gories 3m²)	G1: ≥90		66,754 (24.8%)	6,085 (2.3%)	
	G2: 60-90		86,379 (32.1%)	8,989 (3.3%)	
	G3a: 45-59	65,558 (24.4%)	18,354 (6.8%)		
	G3b: 30-44	17,068 (6.3%)			
	G4: 15-29				
	G5: <15				

• The majority of patients were 65 years or older (55.3%), female (51.6%), and had hypertension (67.5%) or hyperlipidemia (62.5%)

- Patients in the high-risk category were older, had lower eGFR, higher UACR, and higher prevalence of hypertension, ischemic heart disease, and chronic pulmonary disease (Table 2)

		Index KDIGO Risk Category	
	All patients N = 269,187	Moderate risk N = 218,691	High risk N = 50,496
nics, n (%)			
	148,824 (55.3%)	113,879 (52.1%)	34,945 (69.2%) *
	130,171 (48.4%)	106,306 (48.6%)	23,865 (47.3%) *
American	27,583 (10.2%)	22,422 (10.3%)	5,161 (10.2%)
	5,642 (2.1%)	4,780 (2.2%)	862 (1.7%)
ian	221,580 (82.3%)	179,687 (82.2%)	41,893 (83.0%)
nknown	14,382 (5.3%)	11,802 (5.4%)	2,580 (5.1%)
nean ± SD			
R	73.7 ± 22.6	77.4 ± 21.1	57.9 ± 22.2 *
R	102.1 ± 365.4	52.7 ± 51.9	316.2 ± 802.4 *
	7.3 ± 1.5	7.3 ± 1.5	7.3 ± 1.5
ies, n (%)			
sion	181,780 (67.5%)	145,182 (66.4%)	36,598 (72.5%) *
emia	168,375 (62.5%)	136,787 (62.5%)	31,588 (62.6%)
	47,159 (17.5%)	38,971 (17.8%)	8,188 (16.2%) *
neart disease	44,198 (16.4%)	33,227 (15.2%)	10,971 (21.7%) *
ulmonary disease	39,250 (14.6%)	30,928 (14.1%)	8,322 (16.5%) *
rat difference (ratio 0.05) comparing the bigh	rial actagory with the moderate rial		

* indicates significant difference (p < 0.05) comparing the high-risk category with the moderate-risk category

• The majority of patients with T2D and CKD of moderate or high risk on the index date moved to a higher risk category within 5 years. (Table 3)

- Patients with CKD of high risk were more likely to move to a higher risk category than patients with CKD of moderate risk

- However, even for patients with moderate risk at baseline, the probability of moving to a higher risk category was high (G1-A2, 18.8%; G2-A2, 53.9%; G3a-A1, 83.7%)

- For patients in the same index eGFR stage, higher UACR stage was associated with higher risk of moving to very high risk (e.g., G2-A2 vs. G2-A3: 16.1% vs. 71.6%; G3a-A1 vs. G3a-A2: 27.4% vs. 88.0%)

Table 3. Five-years probabilities of progression based on the index KDIGO risk categories

		Albuminuria Categories (m		
		A1: <30	A2: 30-300	
	G1: ≥90		To high risk: 16.7% To very high risk: 2.1%	
	G2: 60-90		37.8% 16.1%	
eGFR Categories	G3a: 45-59	56.3% 27.4%	- 88.0%	
(ml/min/1.73m ²)	G3b: 30-44	- 87.1%		
	G4: 15-29			
	G5: <15			

LIMITATIONS

compared to those with G3a-A1) (Figure 2)

- As with all EHR database analyses, medical services and lab tests obtained outside of the healthcare network were not captured; coding inaccuracy and errors may have led to misclassifications of T2D patients identified based on ICD codes
- Highly variable measures of eGFR may have caused misclassification of CKD risk categories; infrequent lab testing, especially of UACR, may have caused lags in the identification of disease progression

Figure 2. Five-year eGFR trajectories by index KDIGO risk category





Note: Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

References

- 1. Persson F, Rossing P. Diagnosis of diabetic kidney disease: state of the art and future perspective. Kidney international supplements. 2018;8(1):2-7.
- 2. KDIGO 2012 Clinical Practice Guideline for the Evaluation and Management of Chronic Kidney Disease. Kidney International Supplements Volume 3 Issue 1 Jan 2013. URL: https://kdigo.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/02/KDIGO_2012_ CKD_GL.pdf

Conflict of Interest:

Funding for this research was provided by Bayer U.S. LLC. (Bayer); the study sponsor was involved in all stages of the study research and poster preparation.





• Among patients with the same index eGFR stage, patients with higher UACR values experienced a faster decline in eGFR (e.g., a steeper slope of decreasing in eGFR was seen in patients with G3a-A2

CONCLUSIONS

- This study described CKD progression patterns in terms of KDIGO risk categories based on both eGFR and UACR, and demonstrated the clinical value of UACR in assessing disease progression
- The majority of T2D patients with CKD of moderate to high risk moved to a higher risk category within five years
- Patients with more impaired UACR had faster declines in eGFR, which confirms the importance of UACR in detecting CKD progression and highlights its value in CKD management

c) G3a-A1 (moderate risk), G3a-A2 and G3b-A1 (high risk) at index date

